

10/12/00  
JC904 U.S. PTO

10-16-00

EK28738461645 A

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No. AUS9-2000-0401-US1

Date: 10-12-00

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of Inventor(s):

Carl Phillip Gusler and Rick Allen Hamilton, II

JC922 U.S. PTO  
09/687100  
10/12/00

For: **METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BUILDING DYNAMIC FIREWALL RULES,  
BASED ON CONTENT OF DOWNLOADED DOCUMENTS**

Enclosed are also:

☒ 11 Pages of Specification including an Abstract  
☒ 3 Pages of Claims  
☒ 3 Sheet(s) of Drawings  
☒ 2 A Declaration and Power of Attorney  
☒ Form PTO 1595 and assignment of the invention to IBM Corporation

CLAIMS AS FILED

FOR	Number Filed		Number Extra		Rate		Basic Fee (\$710)
Total Claims	15	-20 =	0	X	\$ 18	=	\$ 0.00
Independent Claims	3	-3 =	0	X	\$ 80	=	\$ 0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims	0			X	\$270	=	\$ 0.00

**Total Filing Fee = \$ 710.00**

☒ Please charge \$710.00 to IBM Corporation, Deposit Account No. 50-0629.  
☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with the communication or credit any over payment to IBM Corporation, Deposit Account No. 50-0629. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.  
☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37CFR § 1.16.  
☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37CFR § 1.17.

Respectfully,

David A. Mims, Jr.

Reg. No. 32,708

Intellectual Property Law Dept.

IBM Corporation

11400 Burnet Road 4054

Austin, Texas 75758

Telephone: (512) 823-0950

09687100 101200

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BUILDING DYNAMIC FIREWALL RULES,  
BASED ON CONTENT OF DOWNLOADED DOCUMENTS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Technical Field:

The present invention is related to construction of firewalls to screen internal computer networks from the Internet. More specifically, the invention screens incoming data based on content.

2. Description of Related Art:

Increased reliance on the Internet in recent years has created a new host of security problems for organizations wishing to exploit this resource. Examples of these problems include the infiltration of computer viruses into internal computer networks, and the downloading of indecent material onto individual workstations. To cope with these problems, organizations have developed several methods for monitoring and controlling the influx of data from the Internet into their internal networks. Each of these approaches has its strengths and weaknesses.

One popular method of filtering incoming Internet data is the use of a firewall, a selective gateway standing between the Internet and an internal computer network. Firewalls can be designed to prevent specific types of data from entering the internal network and have the advantage of providing a centralized point from which administrators can control the influx of data.

A common way of setting up a firewall is to manually

00287384616US

insert the address of a particular Internet site into the filtering rules of the firewall. Once a site is listed in the filtering rules, the firewall will automatically prevent electronic documents from that site from entering the internal network. A primary advantage of this method is that specific, objectionable sites can be blocked with certainty. Unfortunately, this approach is also very labor intensive, as it requires administrators to first evaluate the content of an Internet site and then manually add it to the filtering rules. This process diverts administrators from the important job of managing an organization to the mundane job of monitoring Internet access by employees, students, or staff. In addition, the administrator cannot add a site to the filtering list unless he or she knows about it. Considering the size and dynamic nature of the Internet, the administrator is certain to remain several steps behind changes in Internet content.

Another approach is to have the firewall scan the content description language coming in from the Internet and check the tag information within the content description. The tags describe the elements of an electronic document and are used by browser programs to display data properly. If the firewall detects content descriptions that have been added to the filtering rules, the Internet document will automatically be blocked from entering the internal network. Such content filtering reduces the burden on administrators by allowing them to set more general filtering guidelines rather than manually adding individual sites to the filtering rules. A disadvantage of this type of content filtering is the processing burden created by scanning all incoming Internet traffic. Having to scan the content description

002201" 001728560

Docket No. AUS9-2000-0401-US1

of all incoming traffic and compare that content to the filtering rules requires considerable processing resources, which must be diverted from other uses.

In addition to firewalls, Internet content may be filtered by using a distributed network, in which Internet content is filtered at the workstation just before it is rendered by the browser. This approach essentially offloads processing tasks from the server onto the client computers, which can substantially degrade performance, especially if the clients are "thin," having little processing capability themselves. This type of setup generally does not work well in a corporate environment because of the performance degradation and logistical problems of having filtering code distributed among several client machines.

Therefore, an Internet filtering method that allows content to be screened at a central firewall, but does not require heavy processing loads or constant monitoring and input from an administrator, is desirable.

002100-10300

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention provides a method for filtering incoming data from an external computer network. This method includes scanning the contents of incoming data for pre-selected keyword(s) and allowing it to pass per standard service rules if its content does not contain the pre-selected keyword(s). If the incoming data does contain pre-selected keywords, it is blocked and added to a "known-block" filtering table. Once added to the filtering table, the site will automatically be blocked in the future without having its contents scanned again for pre-selected keywords.

2025 OCT 24 00:00:00

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features believed characteristic of the invention are set forth in the appended claims. The invention itself, however, as well as a preferred mode of use, further objectives and advantages thereof, will best be understood by reference to the following detailed description of an illustrative embodiment when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

**Figure 1** depicts a block diagram illustrating the relationship between an external computer network, a firewall, and an internal computer network;

**Figure 2** depicts a block diagram of a data processing system which may be implemented as a server, in accordance with the present invention; and

**Figure 3** depicts a flowchart illustrating the operation of dynamic firewall rules in accordance with the present invention.

002100-10300

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

With reference now to **Figure 1**, a block diagram illustrating the typical relationship between an external computer network, a firewall, and an internal computer network is depicted. The purpose of the firewall 102 is to act as a selective gateway controlling the flow of information between the external 101 and internal 103 computer networks. The firewall 102 can be designed to prevent users of the internal network 103 from accessing inappropriate material from the external network 101.

Referring to **Figure 2**, a block diagram of a data processing system which may be implemented as a server is depicted in accordance with the present invention. Data processing system 200 may be a symmetric multiprocessor (SMP) system including a plurality of processors 202 and 204 connected to system bus 206. Alternatively, a single processor system may be employed. Also connected to system bus 206 is memory controller/cache 208, which provides an interface to local memory 209. I/O bus bridge 210 is connected to system bus 206 and provides an interface to I/O bus 212. Memory controller/cache 208 and I/O bus bridge 210 may be integrated as depicted.

Peripheral component interconnect (PCI) bus bridge 214 connected to I/O bus 212 provides an interface to PCI local bus 216. A number of modems 218-220 may be connected to PCI bus 216. Typical PCI bus implementations will support four PCI expansion slots or add-in connectors. Communications links to network computers may be provided through modem 218 and network adapter 220 connected to PCI local bus 216 through add-in

Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the hardware depicted in **Figure 2** may vary. For example, other peripheral devices, such as optical disk drives and the like, also may be used in addition to or in place of the hardware depicted. The depicted example is not meant to imply architectural limitations with respect to the present invention.

With reference to Figure 3, a flowchart illustrating the functioning of a dynamic firewall is depicted in accordance with the present invention. In this example, HTML (Hyper Text Markup Language) documents from an external computer network arrive at the firewall due to a request from an internal user (step 301). The next step is to determine if the HTML document originated from a site already listed in a filtering table of "known-block" sites (step 302). "Known-block" would refer to any specific site that was already known to contain inappropriate material. If the document is from a "known-block" site, then it is blocked from entering the



Docket No. AUS9-2000-0401-US1

internal network (step 303).

In one embodiment of the invention, if the document is not from a "known-block" site, the next step is to determine if it is from a "known-safe" site (step 304). "Known-safe" would refer to specific sites that administrators knew to be free of objectionable material or sites that are vital to organizational operations, for example, suppliers. If the document is from a "known-safe" site, it is allowed to pass per standard service rules without having its content scanned (step 305).

If the document is not from a "known-safe" site, the next step is to scan the contents for keywords that have been pre-selected by an administrator (steps 306 and 307). In prior art, when a HTML document is scanned, the contents are determined by looking at the tags in the description language. The tags describe the elements of a document and are used by browser programs to display data properly, but they are not part of the text of the document. The present invention goes a step further than the prior art and actually scans the text fields within the document itself, which provides a more accurate guide to content.

If the document does contain pre-selected keywords, it is blocked (step 308). In addition, once a document has been identified as containing pre-selected keywords, its originating address is automatically added to the filtering table of "known-block" sites (step 309). In the future, any document originating from that address will automatically be blocked by the firewall, without the need to scan its contents again, thus reducing the processing load on the system. Adding the site address

002101-001/28960

Docket No. AUS9-2000-0401-US1

to the "known-block" filtering table can be done using PERL or any strong text parsing language. This automatic process reduces the burden on administrators, who do not have to manually add sites to the firewall rules.

The updated filtering table can be added to the firewall instance through periodic refreshes, at intervals ranging, for example, from once a week to once an hour, depending on the needs of the organization in question. The instance is the running copy of the firewall loaded into memory, and must be refreshed to incorporate the new rules. The system can refresh the firewall instance by means of a timed job on a Windows platform, a cron job on a UNIX platform, or the equivalent on any other operating system which would be used for a firewall host.

If the HTML document does not contain any pre-selected keywords, it will pass into the internal network per standard service rules (step 310).

It should be pointed out that in addition to HTML documents, the present invention also applies to computer documents written in other languages, such as, for example, XML (Extensible Markup Language).

It is important to note that while the present invention has been described in the context of a fully functioning data processing system, those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the processes of the present invention are capable of being distributed in the form of a computer readable medium of instructions and a variety of forms and that the present invention applies equally regardless of the particular type of signal bearing media actually used to carry out the distribution. Examples of computer readable media include recordable-type media, such as a floppy disk, a

09697100-101200

Docket No. AUS9-2000-0401-US1

hard disk drive, a RAM, CD-ROMs, DVD-ROMs, and transmission-type media, such as digital and analog communications links, wired or wireless communications links using transmission forms, such as, for example, radio frequency and light wave transmissions. The computer readable media may take the form of coded formats that are decoded for actual use in a particular data processing system.

The description of the present invention has been presented for purposes of illustration and description, and is not intended to be exhaustive or limited to the invention in the form disclosed. Many modifications and variations will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art. The embodiment was chosen and described in order to best explain the principles of the invention, the practical application, and to enable others of ordinary skill in the art to understand the invention for various embodiments with various modifications as are suited to the particular use contemplated.

002101-001350

**CLAIMS:**

What is claimed is:

1. A method for filtering incoming data from an external computer network, comprising:
  - scanning the content of incoming data for pre-selected keyword(s);
  - blocking the incoming data if the content contains pre-selected keywords; and
  - adding the address of a blocked site to a filtering table.
2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the step scanning the content of incoming data includes scanning the text fields within the body of the transmission.
3. The method according to claim 1, wherein the incoming data is allowed to pass per standard service rules if the content does not contain pre-selected keyword(s).
4. The method according to claim 1, further comprising a filtering table of "known-safe" sites that can be passed per standard service rules without having to be scanned for pre-selected keywords.
5. The method according to claim 1, wherein the step adding the address of a blocked site to a filtering table, includes adding the site to a "known-block" table so that the site will be blocked in the future without having its contents scanned for pre-selected keywords.

00207 007 28960

Docket No. AUS9-2000-0401-US1

6. The method according to claim 1, wherein the addition of a site to the filtering table is implemented using a strong text parsing language.

7. The method according to claim 1, wherein the instance of the filter is periodically refreshed through a timed job on a Windows NT platform, a cron job on a UNIX platform, to enact the updated filtering tables.

8. A computer program product in a computer readable medium for use in a data processing system for filtering incoming data from an external computer network, the computer program product comprising:

instructions for scanning the content of incoming data for pre-selected keyword(s);

instructions for blocking the incoming data if the content contains pre-selected keywords; and

instructions for adding the address of a blocked site to a filtering table.

9. The computer program product according to claim 8, wherein the instructions for scanning the content of incoming data include instructions for scanning the text fields within the body of the transmission.

10. The computer program product according to claim 8, further comprising instructions for allowing incoming data to pass per standard service rules if the content does not contain pre-selected keyword(s).

11. The computer program product according to claim 8, further comprising instructions for creating a filtering table of "known-safe" sites that can be passed per

0027100-1042950

Docket No. AUS9-2000-0401-US1

standard service rules without having to be scanned for pre-selected keywords.

12. The computer program product according to claim 8, wherein the instructions for adding the address of a blocked site to a filtering table, includes instructions for adding the site to a "known-block" table so that the site will be blocked in the future without having its contents scanned for pre-selected keywords.

13. The computer program product according to claim 8, wherein the instructions for addition of a site to the filtering table are implemented in a strong text parsing language.

14. The computer program product according to claim 8, wherein the instance of the filter is periodically refreshed through a timed job on a Windows NT platform, a cron job on a UNIX platform, to enact the updated filtering tables.

15. A system for filtering incoming data from an external computer network, the system comprising:

means for scanning the content of incoming data for pre-selected keyword(s);

means for blocking the incoming data if the content contains pre-selected keywords; and

means for adding the address of a blocked site to a filtering table.

002101" 00148950


Docket No. AUS9-2000-0401-US1

### ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A method for filtering incoming data from an external computer network is provided. This method includes scanning the contents of incoming data for pre-selected keyword(s) and allowing it to pass per standard service rules if its content does not contain the pre-selected keyword(s). If the incoming data does contain pre-selected keywords, it is blocked and added to a "known-block" filtering table. Once added to the filtering table, the site will automatically be blocked in the future without having its contents scanned again for pre-selected keywords.

002101 00123500

002100-00128950




**Figure 1**

AUS9-2000-0401-US1  
Sheet 1 of 3




000001-001/28960



200  
Server

Figure 2



**Figure 3**

**DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR  
PATENT APPLICATION**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR BUILDING DYNAMIC FIREWALL RULES,  
BASED ON CONTENT OF DOWNLOADED DOCUMENTS

the specification of which (check one)

X is attached hereto.

\_\_\_ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_  
as Application Serial No. \_\_\_\_\_  
and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_  
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s): Priority Claimed

\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No  
(Number) (Country) (Day/Month/Year)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to the patentability of this application as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Application Serial #) (Filing Date) (Status)

0022101-001/28550

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

John W. Henderson, Jr., Reg. No. 26,907; Thomas E. Tyson, Reg. No. 28,543; James H. Barksdale, Jr., Reg. No. 24,091; Casimer K. Salys, Reg. No. 28,900; Robert M. Carwell, Reg. No. 28,499; Douglas H. Lefevre, Reg. No. 26,193; Jeffrey S. LaBaw, Reg. No. 31,633; David A. Mims, Jr., Reg. 32,708; Volel Emile, Reg. No. 39,969; Anthony V. England, Reg. No. 35,129; Leslie A. Van Leeuwen, Reg. No. 42,196; Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914; Edward A. Pennington, Reg. No. 32,588; John E. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279; Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No. 18,753; Marilyn S. Dawkins, Reg. No. 31,140; Mark E. McBurney, Reg. No. 33,114; Duke W. Yee, Reg. No. 34,285; Colin P. Cahoon, Reg. No. 38,836; Stephen R. Loe, Reg. No. 43,757; Stephen J. Walder, Jr., Reg. No. 41,534; Charles D. Stepps, Jr., Reg. No. 45,880; and Stephen R. Tkacs, Reg. No. P-46,430.

Send correspondence to: Duke W. Yee, Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP, P.O. Box 802334, Dallas, Texas 75380 and direct all telephone calls to Duke W. Yee, (972) 367-2001

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR: Carl Phillip Gusler

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: Carl Phillip Gusler DATE: October 2, 2000

RESIDENCE: 10702 Sans Souci Place  
Austin, Texas 78759

CITIZENSHIP: United States

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: SAME AS ABOVE

FULL NAME OF SECOND INVENTOR: Rick Allen Hamilton, II

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

RESIDENCE: 1532 Dairy Road  
Charlottesville, Virginia 22903

CITIZENSHIP: United States

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: SAME AS ABOVE

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

the specification of which (check one)

— was filed on \_\_\_\_\_  
as Application Serial No. \_\_\_\_\_  
and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_  
(if applicable)

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

Prior Foreign Application(s): Priority Claimed

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to the patentability of this application as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Page 1 of 2

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

John W. Henderson, Jr., Reg. No. 26,907; Thomas E. Tyson, Reg. No. 28,543; James H. Barksdale, Jr., Reg. No. 24,091; Casimer K. Salys, Reg. No. 28,900; Robert M. Carwell, Reg. No. 28,499; Douglas H. Lefevre, Reg. No. 26,193; Jeffrey S. LaBaw, Reg. No. 31,633; David A. Mims, Jr., Reg. 32,708; Volel Emile, Reg. No. 39,969; Anthony V. England, Reg. No. 35,129; Leslie A. Van Leeuwen, Reg. No. 42,196; Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914; Edward A. Pennington, Reg. No. 32,588; John E. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279; Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No. 18,753; Marilyn S. Dawkins, Reg. No. 31,140; Mark E. McBurney, Reg. No. 33,114; Duke W. Yee, Reg. No. 34,285; Colin P. Cahoon, Reg. No. 38,836; Stephen R. Loe, Reg. No. 43,757; Stephen J. Walder, Jr., Reg. No. 41,534; Charles D. Stepps, Jr., Reg. No. 45,880; and Stephen R. Tkacs, Reg. No. P-46,430.

Send correspondence to: Duke W. Yee, Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP, P.O. Box 802334, Dallas, Texas 75380 and direct all telephone calls to Duke W. Yee, (972) 367-2001

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR: Carl Phillip Gusler

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

RESIDENCE: 10702 Sans Souci Place  
Austin, Texas 78759

CITIZENSHIP: United States

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: SAME AS ABOVE

FULL NAME OF SECOND INVENTOR: Rick Allen Hamilton, II

INVENTORS SIGNATURE: Rick Allen Hamilton DATE: Oct 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2000

RESIDENCE: 1532 Dairy Road  
Charlottesville, Virginia 22903

CITIZENSHIP: United States

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: SAME AS ABOVE